SUBJECT: Research & Development Committee

1. PURPOSE:

To define the responsibilities and operations of the Minneapolis VA Health Care System's Research and Development Committee.

2. **DEFINITIONS:**

ACOS/R: Associate Chief of Staff for Research **<u>CI</u>**: Continuous Improvement **CIRB: Central Institutional Review Board** COS: Chief of Staff **HRPP: Human Research Protection Program** IACUC: Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee **IBC: Institutional Biosafety Committee IPA: Intergovernmental Personnel Agreement** IRB: Institutional Review Board **ISO: Information Security Officer** MCD: Medical Center Director **MVAHCS:** Minneapolis VA Health Care System **PO: Privacy Officer R&D:** Research and Development **<u>RDC</u>**: Research and Development Committee **SOP:** Standard Operating Procedure SRS: Subcommittee on Research Safety VA: Veterans Affairs VHA: Veterans Health Administration <u>VMU:</u> Veterinary Medical Unit **WOC**: Without Compensation Employee

3. OVERVIEW:

<u>Research</u> is the testing of concepts by the scientific method of formulating a hypothesis or research question, systematically collecting and recording relevant data, and interpreting the results in terms of the hypothesis or question. It is a systematic investigation including research development, testing and evaluation designed to develop or contribute to generalized knowledge.

<u>Veterans Affairs (VA) research</u> is research that is conducted by VA investigators (serving on compensated, WOC, or IPA appointments) while on VA time or on VA property. The research may be funded by VA, by other sponsors, or be unfunded. The research must be approved by the Research and Development Committee (RDC) before it is considered VA research and before it can be initiated.

The Minneapolis VA Health Care System (MVAHCS) RDC is responsible through the Chief of Staff (COS) to the Medical Center Director (MCD) for maintaining the highest ethical and scientific standards throughout the facility's research and development program.

The Research and Development (R&D) program is focused on advancing Veterans' health and health care by assuring scientific excellence; the ethical conduct of research; protection of human

subjects; the welfare of laboratory animals; the safety of those involved in the research program; and the security of research laboratories, other research resources, and research data.

The MCD is the Institutional Official responsible for all aspects of the research program. The MCD appoints members of the RDC and its subcommittees. The MCD is responsible for suspending or terminating research when concerns about research conduct are raised and/or substantiated. The MCD delegates the authority to administer the R&D program to the Associate Chief of Staff for Research (ACOS/R), who reports to the COS. The RDC advises the MCD through the COS on professional and administrative aspects of the R&D program.

Responsibilities of the RDC include:

- **Research program oversight:** Programmatic responsibilities of the RDC include making recommendations regarding personnel, space and other resource needs of the research program, ensuring that approved research projects align with the VA mission and follow guidance in applicable VHA Handbooks and/or Directives, and fulfills other functions as specified by the ACOS/R, MCD, ORD, and VHA leadership.
- **Research committee oversight:** The RDC is responsible for established subcommittees that directly oversee and approve human subjects research, animal subjects research, and hazards associated with research activities. This oversight is detailed in Procedures (below).
- **Direct research oversight:** The RDC has direct responsibility for review and approval of all research protocols not meeting criteria for coverage by other RDC subcommittees.

All R&D activities within the facility, whether funded or unfunded, are within the RDC's purview. This includes reviewing all written agreements that define areas of jurisdiction between the RDC/ subcommittees and other institutions. However, the RDC also assigns responsibility to provide scientific review, and some administrative responsibilities, to the appropriate subcommittees and individuals.

Research may not be initiated at MVAHCS until the investigator has received an Authorization to Conduct Research memo signed by the ACOS/R. This memo is generated following the notification of the ACOS/R of final RDC approval. The memo from the ACOS/R documents project approval by all relevant subcommittees or other entities and the RDC.

In fulfilling its responsibilities of ensuring effective oversight and making appropriate recommendations to the MCD, the RDC relies on information from a variety of sources including strategic planning meetings and retreats, investigator and staff focus groups, continuous improvement (CI) activities and reports, annual reviews, meeting minutes and reports of the subcommittees, regular reports from other advisory groups and individuals (e.g. MVAHCS' Privacy Officer, Information Security Officer, Research Compliance Officers), and other sources.

4. PROCEDURES:

The RDC is assisted in fulfilling its roles and responsibilities through the actions of its subcommittees. The subcommittees established by the RDC include: the MVAHCS Institutional Review Board (IRB), the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC), and the Subcommittee on Research Safety (SRS). The MVAHCS research program maintains reliance agreements allowing use of VA Central Institutional Review Board (VA CIRB), and IRBs external to VA including other Federal or commercial IRBs, and may establish single IRB (sIRB) agreements when appropriate.

For all studies, whether directly approved by RDC or under the purview of an RDC subcommittee, the RDC is ultimately responsible for ensuring that all research at MVAHCS (including work reviewed by an external IRB) is consistent with the VA mission, in compliance with all applicable regulations, and is of high quality—including ensuring review of scientific merit, feasibility, protection of human subjects and research staff, welfare of animal subjects, safety of all involved in research, security of laboratories, and security and privacy of VA data/sensitive information. The RDC is also charged with ensuring that Classified Research is not conducted as VA Research, and in determining whether participation of non-eligible Veterans in research shall be approved.

The following descriptions provide additional details regarding the procedures and/or responsibilities of the RDC, the HRPP, the IACUC, and the SRS.

a) Research and Development Committee:

RDC procedures used in fulfilling the responsibilities described above:

- (1) Reviewing (and where appropriate, approving) IRB(s)/IACUC/SRS approvals, minutes, applicable SOPs, and reports.
 - (a) RDC approval of all initial IRB/IACUC/SRS reviews must take place after final (not contingent) IRB/IACUC/SRS approval.
 - (b) IRB/IACUC/SRS reports to RDC include summaries of annual activities, any reports that must be referred to the RDC by applicable Handbook or Directive, and copies of other reports describing annual or semi-annual review of subcommittee operations.
 - (c) External IRB reports of annual activities include number of projects, communications between entities, changes in MOUs or other agreements, changes in processes, and challenges.
 - (d) Applicable SOPs include those related to committee review functions.
- (2) Reviewing (and where appropriate, approving) research not overseen by the IRB/IACUC/SRS.
- (3) Ensuring appropriate communication between RDC and other affected MVAHCS individuals (MCD, COS, RCO, ISSO, PO, Pharmacy), as applicable.
 - (a) Ensuring that copies of RDC meeting minutes and subcommittee meeting minutes are sent to the MCD and COS for their review and appropriate action.
 - (b) Ensuring that a summary of the RDC review of research related committees and subcommittees are sent at least annually to the MCD for their review and appropriate action.
- (4) Evaluating requests to include non-Veterans as research subjects. VHA Directive 1200.01 §13 describes requirements for enrolling non-Veterans in VA research. The RDC will evaluate inclusion of non-Veterans either before IRB approval (for studies involving greater risk to participants) or after IRB approval but before RDC approval (for studies that generally pose lower risk).
 - (a) Following RDC review, all projects including non-Veterans must acknowledge the approval of non-Veterans in the final RDC approval memo.

b) MVAHCS Institutional Review Board (IRB) and Central Institutional Review Boards (CIRBs):

The MVAHCS Human Research Protection Program (HRPP) is charged with the oversight of all research activities involving the use of human subjects. The HRPP includes the Minneapolis VA Health Care System Institutional Review Board (MVAHCS IRB) and each Central Institutional Review Board (CIRB) with which MVAHCS holds a reliance agreement, collectively referred to as the IRBs.

- i) It is the responsibility of the IRBs to:
 - (1) Perform initial and continuing reviews, as well as approve changes/amendments to all human research protocols and monitor adverse and unanticipated events.
 - (2) Perform all functions required under 38 CFR 16 (Common Rule) and ensure adherence to all applicable regulations and policies including VHA Directive 1200.05. The HRPP SOPs provide detailed information regarding IRB/CIRB policies and procedures relating to this charged duty.
- ii) RDC Oversight: The RDC maintains oversight of the HRPP and the IRBs through review and approval of IRB minutes, regular updates from the HRPP Administrator (ex officio non-voting member of the RDC), other frequent communications with HRPP and IRB staff, and the formal annual review of the HRPP program.

c) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC):

The MVAHCS IACUC is the local committee charged with ensuring compliance with animal research regulations and guidelines.

- i) IACUC responsibilities include:
 - (1) Initial and continuing review as well as approval of changes/amendments to all animal research protocols at the MVAHCS.
 - (2) Assuring continued accreditation of the animal subjects research program.
 - (3) Conducting a twice annual evaluation of the animal research facility to identify deficiencies related to animal welfare laws, regulations, or policy. The IACUC will take appropriate actions to correct deficiencies identified during this evaluation and report findings and corrective measures taken to the RDC, the MCD, and accrediting agencies.
- RDC Oversight: The RDC maintains oversight of the IACUC through review and approval of IACUC minutes, regular updates from the institution's Veterinary Medical Officer (voting member of the RDC), other frequent communications from the IACUC Chair and Veterinary Medical Officer, and formal annual review of the IACUC program.

d) Subcommittee on Research Safety (SRS):

The Subcommittee on Research Safety, and the Institutional Biosafety Committee (IBC), a subcommittee of the SRS, are collectively responsible for evaluation of chemical, biological, radiological, and physical hazards to employees and the facility.

- i) The MVAHCS SRS is charged with:
 - (1) Oversight of the control of hazardous agents in MVAHCS research laboratories to ensure compliance with all applicable rules and regulations.

- (2) Oversight of the safety of personnel involved in research including ensuring compliance with all applicable rules and regulations.
- (3) Reviewing and voting to approve or disapprove initial reviews, continuing reviews, and protocol amendments for all research protocols under the primary oversight of the IACUC or the HRPP that involve hazardous agents or other safety concerns.
- (4) Reviewing and voting to approve or disapprove initial reviews, continuing reviews, and amendments for all protocols for which SRS is the subcommittee of record ("lab-only" studies).
- (5) Coordination of all safety-related activities including safety training, safety inspections, accident reporting, and liaison activities with facility safety committees and officials.
- (6) Annually reviewing SRS SOPs relating to safety issues and the conduct of research, and submitting an annual evaluation of the effectiveness of the Research Service Safety Plan to the RDC.
- ii) The Institutional Biosafety Committee (IBC), a sub-committee of SRS, is registered with the NIH to review recombinant DNA research at the MVAHCS.
 - (1) The IBC assesses the safety of recombinant DNA studies, and identifies and mitigates any potential risk to public health or the environments.
 - (2) Studies requiring IBC review must receive IBC approval before final SRS approval is granted.
- iii) RDC Oversight: The RDC maintains oversight of the SRS and IBC through review and approval of SRS minutes, regular updates from the SRS Chair (ex officio non-voting member of the RDC), other frequent communications from the SRS Chair, and formal annual review of the SRS program.

5. RDC REVIEW OF RESEARCH STUDIES:

All research conducted at the MVAHCS must be under the oversight of either the RDC or one of its subcommittees. RDC-only studies are studies that do not meet criteria for assignment to any VA Research and Development subcommittee (i.e., IRB/CIRB, IACUC, or SRS). Types of studies that might be under RDC-oversight-only include:

- Research conducted wholly or in part at MVAHCS in which the activities at MVAHCS have been evaluated and determined to not be human subjects research or to be IRB exempt.
- Research under the oversight of a committee at the University of Minnesota (e.g., IRB, IACUC and/or biosafety) that is conducted by VA investigators (serving on compensated, WOC, or IPA appointments), on VA property, and/or while on VA time.
- A funded research infrastructure support entity (e.g., Center for Care Delivery Outcomes Research, Cooperative Studies Program, Network of Dedicated Enrollment Sites).
- Other protocols that do not meet criteria for assignment to any VA research subcommittee.
- Studies no longer under the purview of a subcommittee but still open for data analysis and/or manuscript preparation.

<u>Exception</u>: Systematic reviews conducted under the auspices of the VA Evidence-Based Synthesis Program. These do not require RDC oversight since they are funded with clinical dollars and are developed primarily for the use of VA operational and clinical partners.

- a) <u>Overview of Review Requirements</u>: Review of both initial and continuing RDC-only protocols must be substantive. Conduct of a substantive review is facilitated by the use of RDC reviewer checklists. These checklists help ensure review of items such as merit/relevance and ethical concerns. Where appropriate, some portion of the review checklist may be conducted administratively by the RDC coordinator (confirmation of training completion and other necessary forms, etc.).
- **b)** <u>Initial Review:</u> For all new RDC-only studies, the RDC coordinator will ascertain the status of the PI to make sure he/she is eligible to conduct research in VA.

For studies that fall under the oversight of an RDC subcommittee or external IRB, the RDC conducts an initial review and approval of the study. Review and voting procedures for these studies follow the same general outline as for RDC-only studies, with the following exceptions:

- i) The RDC does not conduct continuing or annual review of these studies, nor review amendments to them; and
- ii) The RDC does not vote to close these studies.

If a study that falls under the oversight of an RDC subcommittee or external IRB wishes to remain open after closure under the subcommittee/IRB of record, for example to continue data analysis, the study will become an RDC-only study and will then follow all procedures below.

Studies that require convened RDC review must be submitted to the RDC coordinator at least 1 week prior to a regularly scheduled RDC meeting. The RDC coordinator will assign each study to a primary reviewer to review to ensure the scientific soundness of the application and to ensure the appropriateness of the project for RDC-only review. The primary reviewer will communicate any concerns to the investigator through the RDC Coordinator. Any modifications made by the investigator will be relayed back to the primary reviewer.

A designated member review process may be assigned for projects that fall into one of the following categories:

- (1) Minor changes to a protocol required by the R&D Committee, following full board review;
- (2) Initial approval of protocols approved contingent on the full approval of a subcommittee, provided the RDC verifies that final approval of the subcommittee did not require substantive change to the proposal reviewed by the RDC;
- (3) Initial approval for protocols approved contingent upon completion of the PO and ISSO review;
- (4) Initial approval of exempt human subject research protocols and protocols approved by expedited review by the IRBs;
- (5) Initial approval of protocols that do not involve human subjects, biosafety level (BSL-3) or higher containment, use of select agents or non-exempt quantities of select toxins, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)-regulated animal species, or any animal research involving more than momentary pain or distress to animals.

For studies in the above categories, the RDC Chair or a voting member designated by the Chair may conduct an initial review outside of a convened meeting. All other proposals will be reviewed in a convened meeting at which a full quorum is present.

The RDC coordinator will make the project application and any supporting materials available for review to the entire committee approximately one week prior to each convened meeting. If the new study is reviewed at a convened meeting, a quorum must be present during the review and approval. At that meeting, the RDC will review the preliminary determination that the protocol meets criteria for an RDC-only project, and if it does, the study will be discussed and voted upon. If not, it will be referred to the appropriate subcommittee(s) for review.

The RDC Minutes will include the name of the primary or designated member reviewer.

- **c)** <u>**Continuing Review:**</u> All continuing reviews are conducted via Designated Reviewer processes. Studies that are under RDC-only oversight will be requested to submit continuing review forms on a 12-month cycle. The RDC committee approval expires 365 days after the previous approval. Studies must receive review within this timeframe.
 - Continuing reviews will be reviewed as outlined above (Section 5b). Note: research designated as exempt or projects involving funds in support of other projects (e.g., infrastructure projects, center grants) do not require continuing review by the RDC following initial review.
 - The RDC coordinator will send two reminders to investigators requesting the materials required for continuing review including a link to the materials. The reminders will be sent approximately 8 weeks prior to the due date for the continuing review and then again at approximately 4 weeks.
 - The due date will be 2 weeks prior to the expiration of the study's RDC approval. If received and approved, the approval will be reported in the minutes of the next convened RDC meeting.
 - If the continuing review is not received 1 week prior to the due date, the RDC chair will send a notice to the investigator stating that if the continuing review is not submitted by the due date, the study will not be reviewed at the next RDC meeting.
 - If the continuing review documents are not received by the due date and the study is not reviewed, then the RDC chair will send a second notice to the investigator after the RDC meeting indicating that approval to conduct research has expired, and all research activities associated with the study (data collection, data analysis, etc.) must stop. An expiration of RDC approval is not considered a suspension or termination.
 - (1) Activities that occur without a current RDC review are considered non-compliant. For example, data collected during a lapse in RDC approval cannot be described (e.g., in a publication) as being part of an RDC-approved study.
 - (2) Retroactive approval for work done after the expiration of RDC approval will not be granted. If the investigator wishes to terminate the study, closure documents must be submitted to the RDC.
 - (3) If the investigator wishes to resume work on the study, the continuing review documents must be submitted to the RDC for consideration and approval at the next scheduled RDC meeting. Even if the continuing review materials have been submitted to the RDC, all activities must stop until RDC re-approval is granted for the study.

- **d)** <u>Amendments:</u> Amendment requests for RDC-only studies must be submitted for proposed changes to the study protocol and be approved by the RDC.
 - i) Amendments consisting solely of an addition or removal of personnel (excluding Principal Investigator) may be administratively processed by the RDC Coordinator.
- **e)** <u>**Closure:**</u> RDC-only projects requesting closure may be administratively processed by the RDC Coordinator. Should concerns be identified during the administrative process, these will be referred to the RDC, ACOS/R, or appropriate group/individual.

6. <u>RDC MEMBERSHIP:</u>

The membership of the MVAHCS RDC, supplemented as needed by advisors or consultants, will reflect the types and amounts of research being conducted at the MVAHCS. New RDC members are nominated by the ACOS/R, current RDC members, subcommittee members and/or the facility's staff, and appointed by the Medical Center Director. Ideally RDC membership will include at least one representative from each of the RDC subcommittees, from each of the major MVAHCS Research Centers (e.g., Center for Chronic Disease Outcomes Research [CCDOR], Geriatrics Research, Education, and Clinical Center [GRECC], Brain Sciences Center), from the investigational pharmacy or Pharmacy Service, and from the Veterinary Medical Unit.

a) Voting Members

- The RDC must consist of at least five voting members with a diversity of demographics and expertise
- All voting members must hold a valid VA status (VA-paid employee, WOC, or IPA).
- Voting members must be appointed by the MCD.
- Voting members must include:
 - (1) At least two members from the MVAHCS staff with major patient care or management responsibilities.
 - (2) At least two members who are VA investigators actively engaged in R&D programs or who can provide R&D expertise.
 - (3) At least one member who holds an academic appointment at the MVAHCS's affiliated institution, University of Minnesota
 - (4) A voting member may fill more than one criterion for required membership (e.g., the member may have both patient care responsibilities and be actively engaged in R&D programs)

b) Ex-Officio Non-Voting Members

Ex-Officio non-voting members include the:

- 1) Medical Center Director (MCD)
- 2) Chief of Staff (COS)
- 3) Associate Chief of Staff, Research & Development (ACOS/R)
- 4) Deputy ACOS/R
- 5) Administrative Officer, Research & Development (AO/R)

- 6) Information Security Officer
- 7) Privacy Officer
- 8) HRPP Director
- 9) SRS Chair

The ACOS/R serves as Executive Secretary of the Committee. Other ex-officio members may be appointed to the RDC if their expertise will assist the RDC in fulfilling its responsibilities.

c) Election of Chair

- Committee members, exclusive of ex officio members, must elect a Chairperson every 2 years.
- The Chairperson must be approved and officially appointed, in writing, by the MCD for a term of 2 years.
- The Chairperson may be reappointed without any lapse in time.
- The Chairperson may not simultaneously chair a subcommittee of the RDC.

d) Terms of Appointment

Voting members serve terms of 3 years and may be reappointed without any lapse in time if it is deemed in the Committee's best interest. The terms shall be staggered to provide partial change in membership annually.

e) Consultant(s)

1) Research Compliance Officer(s)

f) Alternate RDC Members

Alternates must be appointed by the MCD. Alternate members must identify the primary member(s) for whom they may substitute. Alternates may only vote in the absence of the primary member.

g) Ad Hoc Reviewers

The RDC may, at its discretion, invite individuals with competence in special areas to assist in the review of issues that require expertise beyond or in addition to that available on the committee. Such ad hoc members may not vote with the committee.

7. TRAINING OF RDC CHAIR AND MEMBERS:

Committee members should be up to date with CITI human subjects training. MVAHCS may also require other training. Members will receive updated versions of the RDC SOP as they are issued. The ACOS/R may provide further guidance and training as needed.

8. MANAGEMENT OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

In order to maintain public trust in the VA and safeguard the integrity and quality of VA research, RDC members and VA investigators must comply with the Standard of Ethical Conduct of Executive Branch Employees and the Federal criminal code. RDC members and VA investigators must comply with VA requirements on potential financial conflicts of interest in research. Penalties and disciplinary action can result if the ethics regulations are violated. RDC members with outside consulting, employment, or royalty payments must ensure that these activities do not present any actual or perceived financial conflict of interest and must recuse themselves from RDC activities including relevant discussions and votes for which any conflict of interest may exist.

Voting members of the RDC must recuse themselves if the item before the committee presents a potential conflict of interest. When this occurs, the following information will be recorded in the minutes:

- Name of the member
- Subject of the vote
- Whether or not the member remained in the room during the discussion or vote.

9. <u>RDC MEETINGS:</u>

The Research and Development Committee will meet at least once monthly. A quorum must be present to conduct business. If a quorum of members cannot be physically present at the meeting, members may participate through teleconference/videoconference.

The RDC may hold unscheduled meetings at the discretion of the chair in response to emergent issues. A quorum must be present for the meeting, whether in person or by teleconference or video conference.

a) Agenda

An agenda will be developed before each meeting of the RDC and distributed to members at least 3 working days before the meeting whenever possible.

b) Minutes

- Minutes will be recorded and maintained for each RDC meeting.
- The minutes will document the presence of a quorum, and the attendance or absence of voting and non-voting members, including ex officio members and consultants, indicating the category of their membership. If an alternate member is present in place of a voting member, the minutes will indicate this fact and identify who the alternate is replacing.
- The minutes will provide a complete record of all items of business brought before the Committee and the action taken. All actions taken by the Committee will be recorded in the minutes.
- The minutes of the meeting will be reviewed by and signed by the Chairperson, ACOS/R, and facility Leadership Council consisting of the Medical Center Director and Chief of Staff, or their designees.
- Minutes will be maintained by the Research Office.

c) Committee Actions and Voting Procedures

• For each item that requires committee action, an action will be proposed, and will be voted on by members. Examples for actions for a study or agenda item may include (but are not limited to) motions to:

(1) Approve or disapprove;

- (2) Request information or modifications;
- (3) Defer (delay a vote until the reason for deferral is resolved); or
- (4) Table (delay a vote indefinitely)
- Votes by the Committee shall be recorded by the RDC coordinator. The members present for each vote will be recorded in the minutes.
- Voting categories and definitions are as follows:

For (Yes) Approve the motion

Opposed (No) Disapprove the motion

Abstain...... Decline to vote either for or against the motion

Recuse...... Does not vote because of conflict of interest

- If a voting member must recuse, then the member will announce the recusal and leave the room during the vote. Members who recuse themselves will be identified in the minutes as a recusal and as having left the room. The recusing member may not be counted toward the quorum.
- Voting tabulations will be recorded in the meeting minutes.

d) Procedures for Tracking Outstanding Business Items

For every RDC meeting, the agenda will include a line item for outstanding business. The meeting documents will include a list of unresolved business items requiring RDC action as well as a list of those items which have been resolved in the prior month. The information relating to outstanding business and the effort by the RDC to resolve those items will be reflected in the minutes.

e) Records

The RDC coordinator will use an electronic protocol management system to maintain the minutes of the RDC, subcommittee minutes, annual reports from subcommittees, written correspondence, membership attendance rosters, and voting totals for the RDC and all subcommittees.

The RDC records will include documentation of initial and continuing reviews as well as changes/amendments for protocols that do not require review by any of the subcommittees (i.e., RDC-only studies).

RDC records will be archived as directed by National Archives and Records Administration Request for Records Disposition Authority DAA-0015-2015-0004. Protocols approved by the RDC will be kept for 6 fiscal years, and disapproved protocols or those withdrawn by the investigator will be retained for 3 fiscal years. Files related to the ongoing operations of the RDC will be kept for 3 fiscal years (implementation records, including SOPs, policies, agreements with non-VA review committees, committee/subcommittee assessments and compliance) or 6 fiscal years (RDC records, including membership rosters, appointment letters, CVs, training records, meeting minutes and related documentation).

10. <u>REFERENCES:</u>

VHA Directive 1200.01 "Research and Development Committee" (24 January 2021)

- VHA Directive 1200.05 "Requirements for the Protection of Human Subjects in Research" (07 January 2019)
- VHA Directive 1200.08 "Safety of Personnel and Security of Laboratories Involved in VA Research" (24 April 2019)
- VHA Directive 1200.07 "VA Research with Animals" (23 May 2023)
- National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) "Request for Records Disposition Authority" Records Schedule DAA-0015-2015-0004 (13 July 2015)
- ORO Research Compliance and Technical Assistance SharePoint: Guidance for R&D Committee Annual Reviews and Evaluations of Subcommittees and Research Programs (i.e., Human Research Protection Program, Animal Care and Use Program, and Research Safety and Security Program) <u>https://dvagov.sharepoint.com/sites/VACOVHAORO/RCO/default.aspx</u>

11. <u>R&D COMMITTEE APPROVAL:</u> 07 January 2025

12. <u>**RECISSIONS:**</u> Minneapolis Research Service SOP R&D-001 "Research & Development Committee" (19 November 2024).

13. EXPIRATION DATE: N/A

14. FOLLOW-UP RESPONSIBILITY: Research and Development (R&D) Committee