Presentation 10 – Lea Steele

Additional Exposures of Possible Concern in Relation to the Health of Gulf War Veterans Lea Steele, Ph.D. September 20, 2005















Contaminated Food and Water: Government Reports Suggest Water Was all Bottled or From Clean Military Tankers

"DOD military and civilian personnel were provided with sealed containers of bottled water for their consumption. Local drinking water supplies were not utilized. Drinking water was therefore considered a safe, uncontaminated media..."

---- Environmental Surveillance Health Risk Assessment, Kuwait Oil Fires, CHPPM, 1998

* * * RAC.GWVI



Kang, 2002 11,441 GW vets Ate local non-military food All vets 74.9 Ate food contam vd All vets 30.2 smoke, oil, chem All vets 30.2 Kroenke, 18,495 CCEP Non-US foods 66.0 1988 registrants Contaminated foods 21.0	uuy	Pupulation	Exposure		_
Ate food contam wi All vets 30.2 smoke, oil, chem All vets 30.2 Kroenke, 18,495.00EP Non-US foods A6.0 1998 registrants Contaminated foods 21.0	Kang, 2002	11,441 GW vets	Ate local non-military food	All vets	74.9%
Kroenke, 18,495CCEP Non-US foods 66.0 1998 registrants Contaminated foods 21.0			Ate food contam wi smoke, oil, chem	All vets	30.2%
21.0	Kroenke,	18,495 CCEP	Non-US foods		66_0%
	1390	regisiranta	L'ontaminated 1000s		21.0%
McCauley, 305 GW vets Ate local foods 74.0 ^r 1999	McCauley, 1999	305 G W wets	Ate local foods		74.0%

Pierce, 2006 495 AF female Contaminated food or water GW vets Local, non-AF food Reid, 2001 3,531 UK GW Local food	xposed 24.75 days 57.09 days
Reil, 2001 3.531 UK GW Local food	51.65 Guy.
vets	20.6%
Unwin, 1999 2,735 UK GW Lacal food vets	69.1%
VA Registry Ate non-JIS food Ate contaminated food	71.3% 33.2%

Study	Outcome	Exposure	Findings
Boyd, 2003 (978 GW registry vets w/GWb	Mean factor scale score	Food, intection, equipment factor - incl. contaminates, unsafe food or wate r	High symptom 3.30 Law symptom 2.87 Effect size 0.16, p=0.01
		Ate local food or drank local water, non-mil supplied	High symptom 2.43 Low symptom 2.26 Effect size 0.06, p = 0.06
Grav, 2002 (3,831 Seabe es)	GWI	Food poisoning in unit	OR = 2 14 (1.77-258)unadj OR = 1.44(1.13-1.82) sat.
		Got food poisoning	OR = 2.53 (1.92-3.34)unadj
		Ate local food	OR = 1.32(1.13-1.55) una dj.
Reid, 2001 (3.531 UK	CFS	Local food	OR = 0.8 (0.5-1.4) unadj OR = 0.9 (0.5-1.6) adj
GW vets)	MCS	Local food	OR = 0.8 (0.4-1.6) unadj OR = 0.9 (0.5-1.7) adj

študy	Outcome	E xpos ure	Findings
Kang, 2002	11,441 GW vets	Ate food contaminated w/smoke, oil, chem	GW1'cases' 73.4% Non-cases 20.6%
Suadicani, 1999 (667 Nanish GW vets)	Ne uropsych symptonis: memory, headache, diziness, fatigue, sleepproblems	Ingestion of contaminated food (fumes, oil, chemicale) Ingestion of local food	Bivariate assoc. w/# symptoms p <= 0.001, n.s. in multivariate model P <= 0.001, n.s. in multivariate model
Jnwin, 1999 2,735 UK GW rets)	CMI	Local food	OR = 1.1 (0.9-1.3)

to a y	Population	Exposure		
Kang, 2002	11,441 GW we to	Bathed in ordrank water contam. w/smoke, oil_other chemicals	All vets	28.1%
		Bathed/swam in local pond, river, Gulf	All vets	23.3%
Kroenke, 1998	18,495 CCEP registrants	Non-US water		31.0%
NcCauley. 1999	305 GW vets	Water from local taps Water from local wells		34.0% 6.0%

Study	Population	E xpos ure		
Pierce, 2005	495 female G W vets (AF)	Contaminated food or water Bathed/swam in local pond, river, Gulf	Avg. # da	ys exposed 24.75 day 6.23 day
Stuart, 2002	54244 GW vets, CCEP partic.	Contaminated water	Male Fem ale	11.2% 11.7%
Vasterling. 2003	72 GW wets, LA NGhreserve	Contaminated shower water		25%
CCEP Report, 1996		Bathed in contaminated water Bathed in non-US water		20% 32%
SIU Report		Bathed in contaminated water Bathed in non-military water		28.6% 30.5%

Study	Outcome	Exposure	<u> </u>	
Kang, 2002	11,44 1 G W vets	Bathed in or drank contaminated vater	6 W1 'cases' Non-cases	59.8% 19.1%
Grav, 2002 (3,831 Seabe es)	CMI	Drank contam water	ПR= 3.79 (3.1 0 R= 1.71(1.33	9-4-67)unad 1-2-23) sat
		Drank water from desert bag	0 R = 1.98 (1.6 0 R = 1.38 (1.1	6-2.36)unad 0-1.72) sat.
		Bathe in local pondhiver/Gulf	0 R= 1.76/1.40	-2.09)unadi

Study	Outcome	E xpos ure	Findings
Hale v. 1997 (249 G W vets)	3 syndromes, derived by factor analysis	Drinking waterw/ petroleum taste	Impaired Cognition RR = 2.6 (0.9-7.7) Contusion/ataxia DR = 2.8 (1.3-6.3) Arthro-myo-neuropathy DR = 2.6 (1.2-5.6) (All n.s. in muttivariate)
Suadicanin, 1999 (667 Danish GW vets)	Neuropsych symptoms: memory, headache, diziness, fatigue, sleep problems	Bathed inklrank water contam withumes, oil, chemicals Tooth brushing using wather	Bivariate assoc. wi # symptoms p <= 0.001, OR = 2.9 (1.8-4.6) multivariate model
	diziness, fatigue, sleepproblems	Tooth brushing using wather contam w/chem or pesticides	OR = 2.9 (1.8-4.6) multivaria model P <= 0.001, n.s. in multivari







Decontaminating Agents: DS2 Decontaminating Solution 2 Used in the Gulf War to decontaminate equipment exposed to chemical warfare agents

- Principal constituent is 2ME (ethylene glycomonomethyl ether)
 Widely used in paints, varnishes, industrial solvents
- Animal studies indicate hematological, reproductive effects (testicular damage, diminished fertility)
- Chronic effects after limited exposures? unknown
- One report of soldiers with dermal exposures developed rashes

+ + RAC-GWVI



Chemical Agent Resistant Coating (CARC) Paint

- Thousands of military vehicles and other equipment shipped into theater in association with the Gulf War
- Most of the equipment was still painted green "woodland camouflage" when it arrived
- Urgent need to repaint vehicles to desert camouflage colors
- Painting operations set up to paint large number of incoming vehicles in theater prior to D esert Storm
- After the war, similar operations repainted many vehicles back to woodland camouflage

*** RAC-GWVI

Chemical Agent Resistant Coating (CARC) Paint

- A polyurethane paint applied to military equipment
 - Improve protection from chemical warfare agents
 Facilitate decontamination
 - Extends service life of vehicles and equipment
- CARC contains multiple hazardous compounds (toluene, benzene, crystalline silica, ketone)
- Most concern focused on HDI (hexamethylene diiosocyanate) which hardens the paint
- Additional hazardous solvents (paint thinners, cleaners, etc) used in painting operations

* * RAC-GWVI

RAC-GWVI Meeting Minutes September 19-21, 2005 Page 143 of 208

Chemical Agent Resistant Coating (CARC) Painting Operations

- .
- Two additional major CARC spray painting operations established by the Army → Ad Dammam → Al Jubayi
- These sites operated by a Florida Army National Guard Unit, the 325th Maintenance Company → This unit not trained in painting operations, did not have proper protective
 - equipment
- Other, smaller operations also established for shorter periods .

* * RAC.GWVI









325th Maintenance Company

- Started painting in Nov 1990; operations lacked proper personal protective equipment, air circulation equipment
- Began reporting health problems during operations (Dec 90 report): dizziness, rashes, vomiting, nausea
- Local command concerned; ANG alerted ARCENT; family members contacted Adj General, Congress
- Onsite investigation of operations at Ad Dammam and Al Jubayl December-June; operations shut down temporarily
- Protective equipment eventually provided .

* * RAC-GWVI

325th Maintenance Company: the Story

- Health, respiratory evaluations provided to all members by Army physician in 1992 during 2-week training period at Ft. Stewart, GA .
- Met with representatives of South Florida VRA Regional Office to assist with filing claims
- Regional office handled the issue locally .
 - What happened?

 - nat nappened/ How many became III? What were there symptoms, diagnosed conditions? Benefits provided? Effort to assist other units involved in CARC painting operations?

*** RAC.GWVI









Epidemiologic Studies: CARC Paint How Many Were Exposed? 325th Maintenance Company Population Study E xpos ure Dr. Bruce Pettyjohn CARC paint 11,441 GW vets Kang, 2000 All veterans 21.7% VA registry vets 35.0% > Was medical officer for the 325th; did exams predeployment and 18,495 CCEP registrants Kroenke, 1998 CARC paint 48.0% postdeployment Most patients had memory problems, skin rashes, muscle pain, Gi problems; not sure how much was due to CARC, other exposures 54,244 GW Vets. CCE P partir_ CARC paint Stuart, 2002 Male Female 31,1% 20,3% » Wrote ~ 50 page report for about 200 veterans to assist with benefits Australian GW Study 1,456 Austr. GW vets contact with wet CARC paint 1.3% applications » VA "poo-pooed" the problem > Some have died from various causes; he thinks they deserve purple hearts + + RAC-GWVI * * RAC-GWVI

tudy	Outcome	Exposure	Findings
Haley . 1997 (249 GW vets)	3 syndromes, derived byfactor analysis	Near enough to smell CARC paints prayed	Impaired Cognition RR = 0.9 (0.1-6.9) Contusion/ataxia RR = 3.2 (1.3-8.0) Arthro-myo-neuropathy RR = 1.6 (0.5-5.1)
Spencer, 2001 (1,119 GW vets)	CMI	Painted with CARC	0 R = 3.29 (1.88-5.76)
Kang, 2002	11,441 GW vets	CARC pain1	GVA 'cases' 51.2% Non-cases 16.3%

 ~20 % Gulf veterans report exposure to CARC paint higher among Registry participants Association of s/r CARC paint exposure to multisymptom complexes: OR ~ 3.0 	CAR	C Paint: Epidemiologic Findings	
 Association of s/r CARC paint exposure to multisymptom complexes: OR ~ 3.0 	• ~20 % amor	a Gulf veterans report exposure to CARC paint higher ng Registry participants	
	• Asso comp	ciation of s/r CARC paint exposure to multisymptom lexes: OR ~ 3.0	

CARC Paint: Summary

- Appears that excess exposure to CARC paint did occur in some individuals, likely resulted in serious health problems
- Epi studies suggest possible association with multisymptom illness
- Most information available on the 325th Maintenance Co.
- No reports identified that summarized clinical findings in this group, or other CARC-exposed groups
- Unclear whether symptoms of these veterans all due to CARC, or potentially related to other causes
- Little info re: effects of CARC exposures with other painting operations

+ + RAC-GWVI

*** RAC-GWVI



Additional Exposures Potentially Associated with Adverse Health Effects

Misc

- ➤ Hydraulic fluid
- ➤ Purple T shirt incident
- ➤ Other industrial exposures?

Exposure	to Hydra	ulic Fluid	
Study	Outcome	Exposure	Findings
Spencer, 2001 (1.119 ORAVA GW vets)	CMI	Cleaned hydraulic leako	OR = 2.45 (1.31.4.58) una djuste d
			*** RAC-GW